

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/019,715	03/15/2002	Gerard M Vanacker	01-765	1024
7:	590 06/23/2004		EXAMINER	
Bachman & LaPointe			DAVIS, D	ANIEL J
900 Chapel Str New Haven, C	T 06510-2802		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1,0% 11 <b>4.0%</b> , 01 00010 1001			3731	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		$\mathcal{A}$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/019,715	VANACKER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	D. Jacob Davis	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty ( will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI , cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the applicatio	Claim(s) <u>13-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16,18-21,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 22</u> is/are objected to.	• • ———						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 August 2001</u> is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		119(a)-(d) or (f).					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	ts have been received in Ap	plication No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	rity documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	·						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/17/02.	6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the ancillary jaws, device, and temporary screw of claims 23 and 24 must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3731

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The disclosure is insufficient to support the ancillary invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 14-16, 19-21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The meaning of the claims is unclear and the claims require revision. Regarding claims 14 and 19, "being set by flexible spacing or shape memory of said fork branches" is unclear. For purposes of examination, claims 14 and 19 are interpreted as meaning that the fork branches are capable of flexing and receiving the closure part. Claims 16 and 21 are also unclear. Examiner interprets claims 16 and 21 as intending to say that the shoulders of the screw and fixation means contact one another as the blocking screw is screwed into the threads. Claim 24 appears to be claiming the ancillary comprising a screw.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-16 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0 535 623 to Stahurski et al. Illustrated in Figs. 1-7, Stahurski discloses a system for osteosynthesis comprising a rod-shaped linkage element 48 and fixation means 20 having a head in the shape of a fork having two branches. The branches define a reception space in the form of a U. The bottom of the head is in the shape of a horse saddle. The device further comprises a blocking screw 60 that is received in the reception space. The head has a guide means 80 and 82 formed by arched shoulders 68 and 70. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the shoulders are arched (though in a different direction than applicants' arches). The closure part is in the shape of a U and has branches that cooperate with the branches of the fork of the head. The closure has a threading.

Regarding claim 14, the branches are inherently flexible to some degree and are capable of receiving the closure part. As the screw is inserted within the threading, the arched shoulder of the fixation device tightly contacts the shoulders of the closure.

The shoulders on the lateral surfaces of the fork are in the shape of an arc of a circle.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,715

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,899,901 to Middleton. Middleton discloses an ancillary comprising jaws (Fig. 18) and "a device exerting a force on the rod linkage element" (Fig. 17) capable of "ensur[ing] lateral and vertical displacement of the rod linkage element for enabling positioning of the rod linkage element in the fork." The ancillary further comprises a temporary screw 34 that cooperates with the threading.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to disclose or suggest all of the limitations of the claims including a "hook comprising a flexible lamina for temporary fixation maintenance."

Application/Control Number: 10/019,715

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

Page 6

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: DE 41 07 480 to Fay et al. and U.S. Patent No. 5,496,321 to

Puno et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-

1232. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-0858. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJD

June 16, 2004

DAVID O. REIP

PRIMARY EXAMINES